Golf Feat Debunk

overrated feat

made by: **scd_sca1489**

Hello everyone! This doc will attempt to prove that the analysis of PJ's golf feat done by G.I.H.F, rorokelly, XDwasGone, and Reda isn't actually that surprising and doesn't scale as high as they say.
Before I start, I want to say that I don't really want to attack anyone with what I'm about to do, and that joking statements will be taken as serious statements because it makes it easier for me to work with this (I also want to give my opinion on this "LA" feat).

-statements/feats shown in the doc

-Debunks

-Texts of the analysis

Jane rapidly learned golf mechanics, identified flaws, and devised effective training.

1.The analysis says that PJ learned to play golf at a good level in a short period of time thanks to Battaglia correcting his technique using the method Patrick gave him.The problem with this is that to correct a bad posture you don't necessarily have to have a deep or good knowledge of golf or any sport, it requires good observation of the rhythm of the shot and posture.

2.The advice that PJ gives to improve the Battaglia technique is not the most complicated to create/imagine, it is a moderately popular technique that in fact, is not only used by golfers but is also used in many areas to concentrate or improve timing.
(If you have seen the series “the mentalist” you will know that PJ is an expert in the analysis of human expressions in general, obviously he can recognize bad postures.)

Instinctive Club Selection

“Jane instinctively selects the appropriate club (either a driver or a hybrid) for the given course, recognizing the need for longer shots.”

This argument tells us that PJ demonstrates a decent understanding of golf, acquired in a short period of time with minimal exposure to the game itself, and therefore chooses a golf club that he recognizes as more appropriate. I have a problem with this: PJ possibly chooses a golf club based on the following reasoning:

The holes are far apart, so I need a golf club big enough to make it easier to reach them, but not so "fat"/heavy that it's uncomfortable to hold.

(I am revising the doc and decided to write another way of saying the above.) Attributing the club selection to 'instinct' implies a subconscious understanding of golf physics. A simpler, more probable explanation is basic visual assessment and logic: the target is far, requiring a large club , but perhaps avoiding the absolute largest/most unwieldy one ('not the fat one'). This requires common sense, not specialized knowledge.

“not the fat one” “this is a little fat but…”

-PJ

more effective than that of numerous professional instructors and courses

“Jane developed insights and skills on par with, or perhaps exceeding, those of professional golf instructors. Furthermore, it takes about 2 years for people to play Golf on a professional level.”

This is subjective testimony from a frustrated amateur (and mob boss LOL). Professional instructors likely gave Battaglia technically sound but perhaps complex advice he struggled with or ignored. Jane provided a simple, immediate, psychologically resonant fix for one aspect . It worked for Battaglia in that moment. It doesn't mean Jane suddenly possesses teaching knowledge superior to experienced professionals across the board. It means his specific approach connected with that specific person's immediate problem.

Performing this feat after a 7+ hour drive implies his true LA is even higher.

"PJ would have had to drive 7+ hours to get to the golf resort. Driving for such long periods will lead to fatigue. This means that PJ was able to perform this LA feat while suffering from cognitive setbacks, so we can logically assume that PJ Learning Ability is likely higher than what he has displayed"

1. Even looking at the above clip, PJ never shows even a moderate level of fatigue.

2. You assume that PJ was driving for 7 hours straight, when he could perfectly well have made several stops to rest.

3. The feat itself is not a highly demanding cognitive task requiring high mental acuity like learning a language from scratch or learning highly complex calculations. therefore even if PJ was fatigued he could have given the same advice because the advice itself is not complex nor is it even advice that is long but simple: it is just short and simple.

This feat places Jane alongside Koji, L, Moriarty, Sherlock in terms of LA.

Takuya and Kid Koji >>> PJ in LA

conclusions

the doc is not 100% finished, I still want to improve the argumentation and add a lot of things but I prefer to release a 0.5 version and let you think...