Make sure to read this whole post carefully

An In-Depth Debunk of the 'Chess Feat' is complete.
I'll address some important things in this community post regarding the whole CF drama.

First of all, Huntsman and DAX-PMFT's arguments were straight up horrible and plainly bad. In their two 'rebunks', they have made numerous mistakes, incorrect and bad assumptions, contradicted their own arguments and statements, disregarded what has happened in the LN and gone circular, then tried to blame it on my 'lack of knowledge.' Whenever they saw a good argument, they'd simply say 'this is Farhen trying to downplay the CF' or something like that.
At one point, they said 'This makes no sense in the context because Koji wouldn't be calculating one half-move ahead from the move Tsukishiro had changed', when the timeline hadn't reached there. Tsukishiro had not intervened yet.
At another point in their rebunk document, they said or should I say Huntsman said 'Here he makes the claim that predictions dont correlate to FSIQ which is just false.' when in the original CF document, he said 'the ability to make predictions in chess is not shown to have a major correlation with FSIQ, and indexes such as WMI and PSI'. He contradicted and falsified his own statement, which just goes to show people on the internet are completely ignorant and will believe anything.
At another point, they said something like 'Perft table is out of date because COTE takes place in the future' which is just too bad of an argument. This is like saying old video games or older chess engines wouldn't run on modern PCs. This was just plain bad of an argument.

Similarly, I have noted more than 9+ such contradictions in the first rebunk and 7+ contradictions in the second rebunk in which they contradicted their own statements. Everything about these contradictions and bad arguments is explained in fine details. Without these 'contradicting your own statements', their arguments were also horribly flawed. I can definitely say that they failed to debunk my own debunk. I have also explained everything in my document in such a way that even normal individuals would comprehend it.

If one wants to cut to the chase and know why the 1 trillion moves per second thing is completely non-sense, simply read 14), 14], 17), 17] and 1}.

In the documents provided, every argument and counterargument has been given, and when I say 'every', I mean it. The whole thing about the CF is addressed in minute and fine details such that a person who isn't a chess player will still be able to comprehend. With this the CF is completely nullified and there's no hope for it to be 'rebunked'. Their arguments were completely irrational and bad.

I've agreed to my two minor mistakes because I've done them, unlike these guys, who have completely generalized headcanon as 'feats', because I wont deny my mistakes as I've done them and take responsibility for them. That being said, these two mistakes have no relationship with the credibility of my arguments. The last part of their recentmost rebunk was an attempt at abusing insecurities when they could've done better at that as well.

This CF drama really did point out that individuals in the SCD community simply would believe anything influential people will say. There's no hope to this 'CF' anymore. If they post some 'rebunk', consider it to be a last-ditch attempt. The 1 trillion move per second thing is completely debunked and everything has been explained in finest details. Considering it to be valid would be contradicting real-life logic as I've shown. Since some individuals consider headcanon as 'feats'. I urge everyone, even people who aren't debaters to read this set of documents thoroughly. Fortunately, it's over now.

• In - Depth debunk document :
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1GZMMnyQ-AoS3dIig0rbIRHdz7JrKzkoE5vtEogYPO3A/mobilebasic
• Agent's timeline + number of moves document :
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1OUn-f0CwTfrA5JnndHOlGqyjVYh_N5OGt9MxBSoRUjM/mobilebasic

At last, I'd like to thank @AGENT-ct8kv for his enormous help on the documents. I'd like to thank @KiyoKouji for accepting my requests and allowing me to use his arguments. I'd also like to thank @cleax2536 for making a post for my original document and @muhammadeyssa23648 for supporting me. My gratitude is towards all of them and also some people who wouldn't like to be mentioned.
Truth triumphs at last.