INTELLECTUAL FEAT SCALING METHOD (IFSM) by Velquinn

Purpose

The Intellectual Feat Scaling Method (IFSM) is a framework for analyzing **intellectual feats in fictional narratives**. It is designed for debate use — not to rank character intelligence, but to clarify *what makes a feat impressive, logically sound, flawed, or narratively consistent*.

This method:

- Focuses on individual feats, not characters.
- Prioritizes internal logic over spectacle.
- Supports objective comparisons across series and genres.
- Helps debaters break down the anatomy of a feat.

STRUCTURE OVERVIEW

I. Core Metrics (The Five Pillars)
II. Secondary Modifiers (Advanced Analysis)
III. Common Pitfalls in Feat Evaluation
IV. Comparative Application Method
V. Example Feat Analysis

I. CORE METRICS (The Five Pillars)

1. Complexity of the Cognitive Process (CCP)

How intellectually layered was the feat?

Sub-Categories:

- **Type of Reasoning**: Deductive, Inductive, Abductive, Intuitive.
- **Multi-Step Thinking**: Single-stage vs. layered logic.
- **Integration**: Use of multiple domains (e.g. science + strategy).

2. Contextual Constraint Resistance (CCR)

What limitations were overcome to achieve the feat?

Sub-Categories:

- **External Constraints**: Time, resources, surveillance.
- **Internal Constraints**: Emotional stress, fatigue, morals.
- **Narrative Constraints**: Plot positioning, available allies.

3. Logical Consistency & Internal Realism (LCIR)

Does the feat follow the logic of the story world and character history?

Sub-Categories:

- **Lore Adherence**: No contradiction of established rules.
- **Character Consistency**: Skills must be previously demonstrated.
- **Plausibility**: No unexplained leaps in logic.
- **Foreshadowing**: Was this feat set up narratively?

4. Strategic Impact (SI)

Did the feat meaningfully shift the story's outcome?

Sub-Categories:

- **Plot Consequences**: Did it resolve or escalate major conflict?
- **Precision of Result**: Was the outcome intended?
- **Uniqueness**: Was this the only logical path?

5. Foresight & Information Mastery (FIM)

How well did the character anticipate, manage, or manipulate knowledge?

Sub-Categories:

- **Prediction Accuracy**: Did they foresee others' actions?
- **Information Control**: Did they withhold, manipulate, or leak strategically?
- **Flexibility**: Could they adapt under uncertainty?

II. SECONDARY MODIFIERS (Advanced Analysis)

Use these for high-tier or borderline feats.

6. Adaptability Quotient (AQ)

Ability to pivot when plans collapse or new info emerges.

7. Narrative Framing Integrity (NFI)

Is the feat *shown* to be smart, or just *told* by characters or fans?

8. Reliance on Luck (RoL)

Was the success of the feat dependent on coincidence?

9. Replicability & Method Transparency (RMT)

Can the feat's logic be followed, reverse-engineered, or explained?

III. COMMON PITFALLS IN FEAT EVALUATION

- **Outcome Bias**: A successful outcome doesn't guarantee smartness.
- **Power Bias**: Avoid conflating power feats with intelligence.
- **Narrative Bias**: Just because a character is called smart doesn't mean their feat is.
- **Hindsight Inflation**: Avoid fan retcons or overanalysis.

IV. COMPARATIVE APPLICATION METHOD

1. Identify the feat clearly.
2. Evaluate it using the **Five Pillars**.
3. Apply **Secondary Modifiers** as needed.
4. Check for **Common Pitfalls**.
5. Structure debate as:
   "Feat A shows higher FIM and LCIR under greater CCR than Feat B."

V. EXAMPLE FEAT ANALYSIS: Senku Revives Civilization (Dr. Stone)

Metric	Rating	Explanation
CCP	High	Integrates chemistry, physics, logistics, medicine.
CCR	High	Operates with no tools, no infrastructure, limited time.
LCIR	Very High	Built on prior knowledge and step-by-step process.
SI	High	Changes the entire setting through inventions.
FIM	Medium	Moderate foresight; more reactive than predictive.

Secondary Modifiers: - AQ: High
- NFI: Very High
- RoL: Low
- RMT: Very High

Summary: Grounded intellectual feat rooted in realism and adaptability. Strong educational value and replicability.

Use This Guide To:

- Break down smart feats for accurate comparison.
- Avoid overhyping feats with weak foundations.
- Strengthen your debate arguments with structured logic.
- Facilitate intelligent, good-faith discourse across fandoms.

Feel free to copy, reference, or share this document across Reddit, Discord, or debate spaces.