r/IntelligenceScaling • u/IncidentIll7680

Kimura Kei's Bid Poker strategy FULL BREAKDOWN analysis



expanded upon
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uDIKfBp6ve-NqcbcIk8eR_IvrIDPyNPSlMUPXSzrjXY/edit?tab=t.0#heading=h.9u7mzqlx59hd

As soon as the lots were revealed, Kimura had already mapped out a sophisticated strategy, looking beyond the value of individual pairs and immediately analyzing the combinations that could be formed across multiple lots.

P1: Every revealed lot contains at least one pair. Lot C contains a pair of Aces, while Lot A contains a pair of 10s.

P2: Lot C contains the strongest pair among the revealed lots, making it the most attractive choice if players evaluate the lots solely according to the value of their existing pairs.

P3: Lots B and D contain additional 10s, while Lot A already contains a pair of 10s.

P4: Lot B contains 10-J-K, while Lot C contains 9-Q. Cards from Lots B and C therefore form 9-10-J-Q-K, a straight.

C1: Based on P1 through P4, Kimura identifies two potential routes: collecting all four 10s by securing Lot A and the lots containing the remaining 10s, or combining Lots B and C to form a straight.

Contextual insight
Kimura immediately recognizes that Lot C is the most attractive choice under conventional pair-based evaluation because a pair of Aces is naturally stronger than a pair of 10s. However, she also identifies a more valuable long-term possibility: collecting all four 10s to form four-of-a-kind.

At the same time, Kimura notices that Lots B and C contain the cards necessary to construct a straight. Rather than drawing attention to this alternative combination, she deliberately encourages Saeki to focus on Lot A.

P5: Kimura tells Mikamoto that Lot A is the best lot while deliberately making sure Saeki can hear her.

P6: Saeki knows that Lot A contains a pair of 10s, while the other 10s are in Lots B and D.

C2: Based on P3, P5, and P6, Kimura recognizes that Saeki is likely to associate Lot A with the possibility of collecting all four 10s.

Contextual insight
Kimura deliberately calls Lot A the best lot because she expects Saeki to recognize its importance to the four-of-a-kind route and become interested in it.

By making Saeki believe that Lot A is particularly valuable, Kimura diverts his attention away from the alternative combination involving Lots B and C.

This creates a deliberate information imbalance: Saeki is encouraged to pursue the combination Kimura wants him to notice, while Kimura remains free to pursue the straight.

Key traits: Manipulation, deception, strategic thinking, foresight.

Trial-Round Selection

P7: The trial round lasts only three minutes.

P8: Nao proposes cooperation with 1:48 remaining.

C3: Based on P7 and P8, Kimura recognizes that the players have very little time to independently analyze the lots before they must make their decisions.

Contextual insight
Unlike the main round, which provides considerably more time for strategic planning, the trial round forces players to make decisions quickly.

Nao's sudden cooperation proposal interrupts the players' opportunity to carefully compare the lots and evaluate their potential combinations.

Saeki therefore has less opportunity to reconsider his initial impressions. Kimura anticipates that he will rely on the information she deliberately planted earlier, particularly her suggestion that Lot A is the best choice.

P9: Mikamoto competes with Saeki for Lot A.

C4: Based on P5, P8, and P9, Kimura recognizes that creating competition for Lot A will reinforce Saeki's interest in securing it.

Contextual insight
Kimura's attempt to make Lot A appear especially valuable succeeds: Saeki and Mikamoto become occupied competing for the lot she deliberately highlighted.

The competition creates additional psychological pressure. Saeki becomes increasingly concerned that Mikamoto might take Lot A before he can secure it.

Rather than reconsidering the strategic value of the remaining lots, Saeki becomes more determined to obtain the lot he already believes is important.

Kimura therefore manipulates both his initial perception and his subsequent behavior. The competition for Lot A reinforces the importance she previously assigned to it.

P10: Lot D is the weakest lot, and Nao voluntarily takes it as the person proposing cooperation.

Contextual insight
Nao's acceptance of Lot D gives the appearance of a cooperative structure while leaving Kimura free to pursue the combinations most useful to her group.

The remaining lots are distributed conveniently: Saeki and Mikamoto compete for Lot A, while Kimura and Mikamoto can pursue the other valuable combinations without attracting the same level of suspicion.

Key traits: Manipulation, strategic thinking, tactical planning, psychological insight, deception.

Trial-Round Bidding

P11: Players begin with 30 coins, must pay 10 coins at the end of the round, and first place receives 10 coins.

P12: The first-place reward is only 10 coins, while every player must surrender 10 coins at the end of the round.

P13: Saeki attempts to keep his expenditure within a relatively conservative range because he considers the relationship between the bidding cost and the potential reward.

P14: Saeki bids on Lot B to prevent Kimura from obtaining it.

P15: Saeki bids 6 coins for Lot B, while Kimura bids 8 coins and wins it. Mikamoto wins Lot C.

C5: Based on P14 and P15, Kimura recognizes that Saeki is willing to spend coins specifically to deny her access to Lot B.

C6: Based on **C1**, P11, and P15, Kimura recognizes that Lot B contributes to one of the combinations she identified earlier, making it worth spending 8 of her 30 coins to secure it.

C7: Based on P11 through P13, Kimura recognizes that Saeki is unlikely to bid excessively because spending an amount approaching or exceeding the 10-coin reward would undermine the perceived value of winning a lot.

Contextual insight
Kimura understands that Saeki's decision is constrained by his perception of the appropriate cost of winning.

Saeki's 6-coin bid represents an attempt to remain competitive while keeping his expenditure below the perceived value of the reward.

The difference between bidding 5 and 6 coins is also psychologically meaningful. Six coins provides a greater sense of security while still appearing relatively conservative.

Kimura exploits this limitation by bidding 8 coins. She recognizes that Saeki is trying to maintain a balance between winning the lot and preserving his resources, whereas she is willing to spend more because Lot B contributes directly to her previously identified straight.

Mikamoto similarly secures Lot C, allowing the group to obtain both components of the combination.

Key traits: Psychological insight, strategic thinking, tactical planning, reading opponents, manipulation.

Selective Cooperation

P16: Kimura tells Saeki that he should have cooperated with Nao and taken her King of Diamonds.

P17: Kimura cooperates with Nao and tells her not to bid on the King of Diamonds.

Contextual insight
Kimura uses cooperation selectively to obtain a useful card without entering another direct bidding conflict, while simultaneously making Saeki's refusal to cooperate appear to be the reason he missed the opportunity.

Her statement to Saeki is also a deliberate psychological maneuver. She presents herself as someone who understands the advantages of cooperation, even though she is primarily interested in exploiting it for her own benefit.

Cooperation Act

P18: Nao continues advocating cooperation, but only ten lots will ultimately appear, leaving one of the eleven players without a lot. Nao therefore voluntarily withdraws.

P19: Kimura points out that Nao, despite finishing last in the trial round, gained 12 coins.

P20: Kimura suggests that players could cooperate by contributing only one coin toward acquiring lots.

C8: Based on P18 through P20, Kimura recognizes that emphasizing the low individual cost of cooperation can make the arrangement attractive even to players who are otherwise suspicious of one another.

P21: Harimoto proposes that everyone stand in a circle and hold their boards so that their bidding can be mutually monitored.

P22: Kimura and Harimoto support the cooperation proposal, reinforcing the impression that their group is participating in the collective arrangement.

P23: Baldy has been spreading the claim that Harimoto's group and Akiyama's group have cooperated with each other.

P24: Kimura and Harimoto's support for cooperation helps reinforce the perception that a larger alliance exists among the players.

C9: Based on P21 through P24, Kimura recognizes that the perception of an existing alliance can discourage individual players from openly challenging the cooperative arrangement because they risk becoming isolated.

Contextual insight
Kimura understands that cooperation is not merely an economic arrangement but also a psychological mechanism that can influence how other players perceive their choices.

Nao's withdrawal resolves the problem of having fewer lots than players, allowing the cooperation proposal to continue without requiring another player to be excluded openly. Kimura then uses Nao's trial-round earnings to demonstrate that cooperation can be profitable even for someone who finishes last. By suggesting that everyone could contribute just one coin, she makes the arrangement appear inexpensive and relatively safe.

Kimura also exploits Baldy’s existing resentment toward Akiyama's group, stemming from Musical Chairs. Knowing that this grudge could serve her interests, she approached Baldy before the trial round even began and recruited him as an accomplice. Once the dealing catalogue for the trial round was revealed, Baldy began discreetly carrying out his role by spreading the claim that Harimoto's group and Akiyama's group had cooperated.

This fabricated alliance narrative creates the impression that a larger coalition already exists, making players reluctant to challenge the established arrangement for fear of becoming isolated. Kimura and Harimoto's support for cooperation further reinforces this perception, making the proposed arrangement appear legitimate and widely accepted.

Harimoto's suggestion that everyone stand in a circle and hold their boards adds another layer to the deception by creating an illusion of mutual accountability. Everyone appears capable of monitoring everyone else, fostering the belief that cheating would be difficult.

However, Kimura understands that this monitoring arrangement does not guarantee genuine transparency. The hidden fingerprint mechanism allows her to manipulate the bidding process while maintaining the appearance of universal cooperation.

Key traits: Manipulation, deception, strategic thinking, psychological insight, tactical planning, foresight.

Two-Board Mechanism

P25: Harimoto possesses a board bearing his name, and Kimura's fingerprint was registered on it beforehand.

P26: Kimura possesses a board bearing her own name, and her fingerprint is also registered on it. This board was initially held by Harimoto and is later given to Mikamoto.

P27: The board bearing Harimoto's name is initially held by Kimura, while the board bearing Kimura's name is initially held by Harimoto.

C10: Based on P25 through P27, Kimura recognizes that her fingerprint is registered on both boards, despite the boards bearing different players' names.

P28: Harimoto appears as the winner of Lot A without visibly performing a bidding action.

P29: Harimoto claims that his spiritual power caused the board to operate automatically.

P30: Harimoto gives Lot B to Shimoharada and hands his board to Mikamoto.

P31: Harimoto's name nevertheless appears on the bidding board during Lot B, despite Harimoto no longer physically holding that board.

C11: Based on P28 through P31, Kimura recognizes that the identity displayed as the bidder is not necessarily tied to the person physically holding the board.

C12: Based on **C10** and **C11**, Kimura recognizes that she can potentially operate either registered board while making the bidding action appear under the identity associated with that board.

Contextual insight
The crucial distinction is between the board's registered identity, its physical holder, and the person actually operating it.

Kimura initially holds the board bearing Harimoto's name, while Harimoto holds the board bearing Kimura's name. Harimoto subsequently gives Kimura's board to Mikamoto.

Because Kimura's fingerprint is registered on both boards, she can potentially operate either one.

This allows her to make bids appear under Harimoto's name without Harimoto actually performing the bidding action.

P32: Kimura can separate Harimoto from the other players while leaving him with the board bearing his name.

P33: Mikamoto holds the board bearing Kimura's name.

P34: The other players do not know the complete history of the two boards.

C13: Based on P32 through P34 and **C10**, Kimura recognizes that she can potentially operate either registered board even when its physical holder and displayed identity are different.

Contextual insight
The board arrangement gives Kimura a way to secretly determine which member of her group appears to be making a bid while concealing her own involvement.

She can leave Harimoto physically separated from the other players, making it appear that he cannot interfere with the bidding process.

Meanwhile, Mikamoto holds the board bearing Kimura's name. Because the other players do not know the complete history of the boards, they cannot easily recognize the true relationship between the physical holders and the registered identities.

Kimura therefore has an opportunity to maintain a hidden means of influencing the bidding process even while appearing to participate in the cooperative arrangement.

Kimura's Economic Objective

P35: An unranked player loses 150 million, which is redistributed as 100 million to first place, 40 million to second place, and 10 million to third place.

P36: One lot alone is insufficient to form a valid hand.

C14: Based on P35 and P36, Kimura recognizes that players who fail to form valid hands become sources of additional money for the players who qualify.

P37: Kimura, Abe, Mikamoto, and Harimoto form a coordinated group capable of exchanging cards internally.

C15: Based on P37, Kimura recognizes that the four members can redistribute cards internally after acquiring lots, allowing a card obtained by one member to be transferred to another member who needs it.

P38: Harimoto is intended to secure at least two lots and rank highly, while Kimura, Abe, and Mikamoto can complete their hands through internal exchanges.

C16: Based on P37 and P38, Kimura recognizes that the group can concentrate valuable lots with Harimoto and later redistribute the cards among its members so that several members can complete valid hands.

P39: Harimoto taking multiple lots can leave other players without enough cards to form valid hands.

C17: Based on P35, P36, P38, and P39, Kimura recognizes that Harimoto's acquisition of multiple lots can simultaneously strengthen her group and deprive outsiders of cards required to qualify.

Contextual insight
Kimura's objective is not merely to maximize the strength of her group's hands. She also wants her group to qualify while increasing the number of outsiders who remain unranked, because each additional unranked player increases the amount redistributed among the qualifying players.

The most important advantage of Kimura's group is its internal coordination.

Unlike the other players, the four members can transfer cards and resources between themselves without treating every transaction as an independent competitive exchange.

They can therefore concentrate valuable lots with Harimoto and redistribute the cards afterward, allowing multiple members to complete valid hands.

P40: The group's strategy depends on maintaining cooperation among the other players for as long as possible.

Contextual insight
Preserving the appearance of cooperation is strategically valuable because it delays open competition and gives Kimura's group more opportunities to acquire and redistribute cards before outsiders realize they are being exploited.

If Harimoto openly takes multiple lots, the other players may realize that the arrangement is unfair and abandon cooperation.

P41: Kimura can voluntarily surrender a lot that she could otherwise contest.

Contextual insight
Kimura does not need to win every valuable lot. She can surrender individual lots whenever doing so preserves the cooperative structure and advances her group's larger objective.

For example, Kimura could deliberately refrain from contesting a lot to maintain the appearance that everyone is receiving a fair opportunity.

Her willingness to sacrifice an individual acquisition is not a weakness. It is part of a broader strategy in which the group's overall success takes priority over any single member's immediate gains.

Akiyama Breaks the Plan

P42: Harimoto is expected to secure Lots A and B and potentially acquire additional lots.

P43: Akiyama intervenes and exposes Kimura's board-manipulation mechanism.

C18: Based on P43 and **C12**, Kimura recognizes that once the board-manipulation mechanism is exposed, her ability to secretly place bids under Harimoto's name is compromised.

P44: The players abandon the cooperative arrangement and shift toward open competition.

Contextual transition
Kimura can no longer rely on hidden board manipulation or the previous appearance of universal cooperation.

Her original plan depended on controlling the bidding process while maintaining the illusion that everyone was participating under the same rules.

Once Akiyama exposes the mechanism, that advantage disappears.

The players begin competing openly, forcing Kimura to change her approach. Instead of primarily controlling the game through the cooperative group structure, she must now manipulate individual players, their exchanges, and their available resources.

First Card-Exchange Round — Securing Harimoto's Position

P45: Kimura helps Harimoto reach first place.

P46: Kimura still needs valid hands for herself, Abe, and Mikamoto.

C19: Based on P45 and P46, Kimura recognizes that securing Harimoto's first-place position is only an intermediate objective because she, Abe, and Mikamoto still need valid hands.

Contextual insight
Kimura's immediate priority is to ensure that Harimoto secures first place, but she understands that this alone does not guarantee the group's overall success.

She must still find a way for herself, Abe, and Mikamoto to complete valid hands.

The game has shifted into a more competitive environment, so the group can no longer rely on the same unrestricted cooperation it previously enjoyed.

P47: Kimura tells Mikamoto that even if outsiders agree to exchange cards by contributing 20 coins each, their group can bid more.

C20: Based on P47 and the group's coordinated resources, Kimura recognizes that the group's combined resources allow it to overpower exchanges financed through ordinary cooperation among outsiders.

Contextual insight
Kimura does not need to interfere with every outsider exchange immediately.

The group can allow outsiders to form exchanges and intervene when a particular exchange threatens one of its members' qualification or allows an outsider to qualify.

Because the four members coordinate their resources, they can collectively outbid ordinary cooperative arrangements between independent players.

Second Card-Exchange Round — Kawai as an Information Source

P48: Kawai approaches Kimura for help.

P49: Kawai tells Kimura that Saeki and Taninaka are attempting to cooperate while each suspects the other of betrayal.

P50: Saeki and Taninaka ultimately agree to put 71 coins toward the cards being exchanged.

C21: Based on P48 through P50, Kimura obtains information about the outsiders' attempted cooperation and the resources they are willing to commit to it.

P51: Kimura orders Kawai to monitor the remaining players and promises him five cards if he performs well.

Contextual insight
Kimura recognizes that Kawai can provide valuable information about the other players' intentions, available resources, and potential exchanges.

She therefore turns him into an information source by promising him five cards in exchange for monitoring the remaining players.

The information about Saeki and Taninaka is particularly useful because it reveals another obstacle preventing outsiders from cooperating effectively: neither player trusts the other enough to commit resources without fearing betrayal.

Their agreement to contribute 71 coins each reflects an attempt to balance these concerns.

The amount is large enough to discourage either player from abandoning the exchange for a relatively small immediate gain, but not so large that it becomes an overwhelmingly attractive target for someone else.

Kimura can use this information to anticipate subsequent exchanges and identify opportunities to disrupt them.

Third Card-Exchange Round — Preventing Taninaka and Shimoharada from Cooperating

P52: After the exchange with Saeki fails, Taninaka needs another partner.

P53: Shimoharada is a potential partner, but the proposed exchange would leave Taninaka with only four cards.

C22: Based on P52 and P53, Kimura recognizes that even a successful exchange between Taninaka and Shimoharada would leave Taninaka one card short of forming a valid hand.

P54: Shimoharada has 240 coins.

P55: One possible arrangement requires Shimoharada to bid 100 coins for Taninaka's card.

P56: Taninaka has 332 coins and owes 180 million.

P57: Receiving 100 coins would give Taninaka 432 coins before the relevant deductions.

P58: Shimoharada would have only 40 coins after spending 100 coins and paying the additional 100-coin deduction.

P59: Taninaka's card is useful to Shimoharada because Shimoharada can use it to complete his own hand.

P60: Shimoharada recognizes that Taninaka's card is not particularly valuable to the other players because their existing hands do not require it.

P61: If nobody else needs Taninaka's card, Shimoharada may be able to obtain it through a relatively inexpensive bid.

C23: Based on P54 through P59 and **C22**, Kimura recognizes that the proposed exchange gives Shimoharada a reason to participate, but the required payment would consume most of his resources while Taninaka would still remain one card short of qualifying.

C24: Based on P53, P54, P55, P58, P59, and P60, Kimura recognizes that the proposed exchange has a structural weakness: Shimoharada must commit a substantial amount of his resources to obtain Taninaka's card, even though its limited competitive demand could allow him to acquire it more cheaply.

P62: Kimura sends Kawai to approach Taninaka.

P63: Kawai points out the weakness in Taninaka's proposed arrangement with Shimoharada.

P64: The prospective agreement between Taninaka and Shimoharada collapses.

Contextual insight
Kimura understands that Taninaka's proposed arrangement is financially unattractive for Shimoharada.

Although Shimoharada has a reason to obtain Taninaka's card, the proposed payment would leave him with only 40 coins after the relevant deductions.

Furthermore, Taninaka would still have only four cards and would therefore remain unable to qualify.

There is another weakness: Shimoharada recognizes that nobody else particularly needs Taninaka's card. Since it has little competitive demand, Shimoharada could potentially acquire it at a much lower price.

Kimura understands these weaknesses and sends Kawai to expose them to Taninaka.

By making Taninaka aware that Shimoharada has little reason to accept the proposed arrangement, Kimura destroys the trust necessary for their cooperation.

Key traits: Psychological insight, reading opponents, tactical planning, understanding emotional incentives.

Eliminating Multiple Outsiders

P65: Kimura spends 357 coins preventing Taninaka, Saeki, Shimoharada, and Baldy from completing valid hands.

P66: Kimura needs at least four outsiders to remain unranked for the group's expenditure to produce the intended profit.

C25: Based on P65 and P66, Kimura's 357-coin expenditure is strategically worthwhile only if all four targeted outsiders remain unranked, because otherwise the resulting redistribution is reduced.

C26: Based on P35, P65, P66, and the anticipated 440-million redistribution, Kimura recognizes that spending 357 coins to prevent four outsiders from qualifying can produce a redistribution of 440 million, resulting in a potential net gain of 83 million before considering subsequent transactions.

Contextual insight
Kimura deliberately accepts a substantial immediate expenditure because eliminating several competitors at once can increase the group's eventual share of the redistribution.

If all four targeted players remain unranked, the group receives the intended 440-million redistribution.

After accounting for the 357-million expenditure, the potential net gain is 83 million.

However, Kimura's strategy does not end with this calculation. She recognizes that she can extract additional resources from opponents who desperately need cards, allowing her group to increase its eventual earnings.

She is therefore not simply trying to defeat four competitors. She is manipulating the entire economic structure of the game to maximize her group's return.

Fourth Card-Exchange Round — Creating False Expectations About Discarding

P67: The upcoming fifth exchange is the final opportunity for players who still lack sufficient cards.

P68: Kimura uses Kawai to make the players believe that several people have decided to discard all their cards.

P69: Some players have only four cards and cannot qualify anyway.

C27: Based on P68 and P69, Kimura recognizes that the false claim is credible because several players genuinely have too few cards to qualify and therefore appear capable of abandoning their hands.

P70: In reality, nobody discards.

Contextual insight
Kimura understands that the final exchange represents the last opportunity for players who still lack sufficient cards.

At this point, many players are desperate and more willing than ever to compromise or cooperate if doing so gives them a chance to qualify.

Kimura anticipates this and uses Kawai to spread misinformation suggesting that several players have decided to discard all their cards.

The deception is convincing because some players genuinely have only four cards and cannot qualify anyway. From their perspective, abandoning their hands might appear to be a reasonable decision.

However, nobody actually discards.

Kimura's misinformation causes the other players to make decisions based on the expectation that additional lots will become available.

This allows her to influence their resource allocation and expectations without actually giving them the cards they anticipate receiving.

Key traits: Tactical planning, psychological manipulation, deception, strategic foresight.

Preparation for the Fifth and Final Card-Exchange Round — Turning Taninaka into Capital

P71: Taninaka faces the risk of losing and needs another opportunity to complete his hand.

P72: Kimura offers Taninaka the Jack of Spades on the condition that he bids 285 coins for it.

C28: Based on P71 and P72, Kimura recognizes that Taninaka's need to qualify gives her an opportunity to extract 285 coins in exchange for the card he requires.

P73: Kimura has already spent 357 coins preventing four opponents from qualifying.

P74: If all four opponents fail to qualify, Kimura's group receives 440 million through redistribution.

C29: Based on P73 and P74, Kimura recognizes that the potential 440-million redistribution can justify the earlier 357-coin expenditure if all four targeted opponents remain unranked.

P75: Taninaka's 285-coin payment provides Kimura with additional resources immediately before the final bidding sequence.

P76: If Taninaka remains unranked, the group retains the redistribution associated with his failure to qualify.

P77: If Taninaka successfully qualifies through Kimura's offer, the resulting redistribution changes compared with the outcome in which he remains unranked.

C30: Based on P71, P72, P74, P75, and P77, Kimura recognizes that Taninaka's desperation allows her to extract a substantial payment while potentially improving the group's financial outcome through his qualification.

Contextual insight
Kimura's offer to Taninaka serves two purposes.

First, it gives him an opportunity to obtain the card he needs to complete his hand.

Second, it provides Kimura with 285 coins immediately before the final bidding sequence.

The timing is particularly important because Kimura has already spent 357 coins preventing four opponents from qualifying.

By selling J♠ to Taninaka, she can replenish her resources and potentially alter the financial outcome of the game.

The transaction is therefore not simply an isolated exchange. It is part of a larger economic strategy in which Kimura converts an opponent's desperation into resources that can be used against another opponent.

C31: Based on the original redistribution calculations and the additional resources generated through Taninaka's transaction, Kimura recognizes that the financial value of her strategy can increase substantially if she successfully manipulates the final exchange.

Fifth and Final Card-Exchange Round — Using Kawai as Bait

P78: Mikamoto can discard Q♥, 4♥, 2♦, and 10♠ as one lot.

P79: Abe can discard 4♣ as another lot.

P80: Kawai has 300 coins.

P81: Obtaining both lots would give Kawai five cards and a pair of 4s, enough to qualify.

C32: Based on P78 through P81, Kimura recognizes that Kawai's qualification depends on obtaining both lots, making the second lot strategically important to him and giving Kimura leverage over his 300-coin reserve.

P82: Kimura instructs Kawai to bid 290 coins on Mikamoto's lot, leaving him with only 10 coins for the subsequent bid on Abe's 4♣.

P83: Taninaka is expected to spend 285 coins on J♠.

C33: Based on P82 and P83, Kimura expects Kawai to spend 290 coins on Mikamoto's lot while Taninaka spends 285 coins on J♠, leaving Kawai with only 10 coins for the subsequent bid.

P84: The remaining players have little reason to compete aggressively for 4♣ because it does not meaningfully improve their hands.

C34: Based on P84, Kimura expects Kawai to face little serious competition for 4♣ from the remaining players.

P85: Kimura warns Kawai that bidding less than 290 on Mikamoto's lot will cause her group to increase its bid for 4♣.

P86: Kawai needs 4♣, together with Mikamoto's lot, to complete his proposed qualifying hand.

Contextual insight
Kimura creates a false dilemma for Kawai.

She makes him believe that he must spend the full 290 coins on Mikamoto's lot to preserve his opportunity to obtain 4♣.

The reasoning appears convincing because the remaining players have little reason to compete for 4♣, while Taninaka is expected to spend 285 coins on J♠.

Kawai therefore believes that he can safely obtain the second lot with his remaining 10 coins.

Kimura deliberately exploits this expectation, encouraging him to commit almost all his available resources to the first lot.

From Kawai's perspective, the arrangement appears to be an opportunity to qualify. From Kimura's perspective, it is a trap designed to leave him unable to compete for the final card.

Key traits: Deception, manipulation, tactical planning, psychological insight, foresight.

Kimura's Actual Objective

P87: Kimura previously has no coins available for the final sequence.

P88: Taninaka pays Kimura 285 coins for J♠.

C35: Based on P87 and P88, Kimura obtains the 285 coins necessary to enter the final bidding sequence with resources available to contest 4♣.

P89: Kimura bids 285 coins for 4♣ after Kawai has spent 290 coins on Mikamoto's lot.

C36: Based on P82, P86, P88, and P89, Kawai's 290-coin expenditure leaves him with only 10 coins, while the 285 coins obtained from Taninaka allow Kimura to take 4♣ and prevent Kawai from completing his proposed qualifying hand.

Contextual insight
Kimura's apparent intention is to help Kawai qualify by allowing him to obtain both lots.

However, this is not her actual objective.

She never intends to let Kawai complete his hand.

Instead, she deliberately encourages him to spend 290 coins on Mikamoto's lot, leaving him with only 10 coins for the subsequent bid.

Meanwhile, Kimura obtains 285 coins from Taninaka's purchase of J♠.

She then uses those coins to bid on Abe's 4♣, taking the final card Kawai needs.

This accomplishes several objectives simultaneously:

- Kawai loses the opportunity to complete a valid hand.
- Kimura's group receives the 290 coins Kawai pays for Mikamoto's lot.
- Abe receives 285 coins from Kimura's successful bid.
- Kimura obtains additional resources through Taninaka's transaction.
- The group preserves its advantage while depriving another opponent of the opportunity to qualify.

Kimura has effectively turned Kawai's attempt to qualify into a mechanism for extracting his resources and preventing his success.

Kimura's Intended Final Sequence

The intended bidding sequence is:

Lot A: J♠

- Taninaka bids 285 coins.
- Taninaka wins the card.
- Kimura receives 285 coins.

Lot B: Q♥, 4♥, 2♦, 10♠

- Kawai bids 290 coins.
- Kawai wins the lot.
- Mikamoto receives 290 coins.

Lot C: 4♣

- Kimura bids 285 coins.
- Kimura wins the card.
- Abe receives 285 coins.

The sequence simultaneously redistributes resources within Kimura's group and prevents Kawai from completing his proposed qualifying hand.

Akiyama's Counterstrategy

The fifth and final card-exchange round is ultimately the point at which Akiyama's counterstrategy overturns Kimura Kei's control.

Akiyama has already calculated that by discarding A♦ and having Taninaka bid all 332 coins, he can increase his available resources from 596 coins to 928 coins.

This gives him enough resources to secure both lots he needs without allowing Kimura's group to interfere.

His counterstrategy therefore enables him to take first place while saving the other players from Kimura's intended exploitation.

Canon statement/insight
Kimura had understood from the beginning that Bid Poker is fundamentally a majority-rule game: the winning strategy is to form a team, pool the most coins, prevent opponents from outbidding the team, and collectively build strong hands.

However, she believed that this strategy was impossible to implement reliably because the player controlling the collective money could betray the others for personal gain. Kimura therefore believed that only her tightly bound group could overcome this problem through faith and trust.



Akiyama ultimately proves her wrong by exploiting this very assumption. He demonstrates that Kimura's belief that everyone is inevitably selfish and untrustworthy is itself the weakness that leads to her defeat.