LIGHTYAGAMI CASE FILE · GOTNWNOVEL

THE GOD PLAN PHASE 1

The complete plan, explained. Kira' s founding doctrine read as a strategic artifact: the Sanction–State–Soul architecture, the four-stage deployment engine, the five laws, the USD 52, 000-million Fund of Security of the Americas and the eight-country campaign — followed by the full map of the 66 SCD subcategories the plan exercises across 8 of its 10 main categories. No scores: a structural reading, not a rating.

Death Note: God of the New World — GOD PLAN, Phase 1

Strategic plan explained · All SCD categories used · No-scores edition

November 2026

STRATEGICANALYSIS·SCDCATEGORYMAP·NOSCORES

Contents

1. Scope, Source and Reading Guide 1

2. The Plan at a Glance 2

3. The Strategic Plan Explained 3

3.1 Design philosophy: from deterrence to permanence 3

3.2 The three-front architecture 3

3.3 The phase engine: Stage 0 → 3 4

3.4 The objective system: O1-O6, S1-S3, E1-E6, A1-A5, P1-P3 5

3.5 The legislative core: the Five Laws 6

3.6 The financial engine: the Fund of Security of the Americas 7

3.7 The territorial campaign: eight differential strategies 8

3.8 State-Soul integration: the security-development-formation triad 9

3.9 The cultural front: manufacturing permanence 10

3.10 Protection, succession and the limits doctrine 10

3.11 Victory redefined 11

3.12 Self-correction inside the document 11

4. SCD Category Map — All Categories the Plan Uses 12

4.1 Method: engagement mapping without scores 12

4.2 Overview of the map 12

4.3 Cyan — Strategy 13

4.4 Light Green — Thinking 14

4.5 Dark Blue — Insight & Field Ops 15

4.6 Purple — Manipulation & Deception 16

4.7 Pink — EI & Social Intelligence 17

4.8 Red — FSIQ 17

4.9 Orange — Foresight 17

4.10 Cognition 18

4.11 The unexercised surface 18

5. Closing Synthesis 20

1. Scope, Source and Reading Guide

This report re-analyzes the complete GOD PLAN — Phase 1 document as a strategic artifact: what it says, how it is built, and every category of intelligence it puts to work. By explicit request, it contains no scores of any kind — no ratings, no weights, no baseline comparisons. It is a structural reading followed by a complete map of the SCD categories the plan exercises.

3	4	23	5
complementary fronts	stages (0 → 3)	codified objectives	fundamental laws

The object of this analysis is the GOD PLAN — Phase 1 document in its entirety: a first-person strategic doctrine written by Light Yagami after the defeat of Near, in which Kira's rule stops being a regime of punishment and starts becoming a project of permanent world order. The text is organized as a vision statement, a four-stage deployment plan, an objective system of twenty-three codified items, five legislative instruments, a financial engine of USD 52,000 million per year, and an eight-country territorial campaign — closing with a self-diagnostic in which the author corrects his own project. It is, in the most literal sense, a governing document.

Two decisions define this edition. First, it is score-free: the previous report evaluated the plan and measured its impact on Light's matrix; this one deliberately drops every numeric judgment and asks only structural questions — what the plan is, how its parts connect, and which capabilities it requires. Second, the SCD mapping in chapter 4 is an inventory, not a ranking of points: it identifies all sixty-six of the one hundred and twenty-five taxonomy subcategories that the plan exercises, explains how each one is used, and states count-based shares of engagement. No 1-100 rating, evidential weight or score delta appears anywhere in this document.

All quotations are the author's own words from the source document, translated from the Spanish original. Where the source uses shorthand — 'the Fund of the Americas' for the Fund of Security of the Americas, 'T1/T2' for the Tipo 1 and Tipo 2 populations — this report keeps the document's own vocabulary and glosses it on first use. One editorial assumption is declared up front: 'the complete plan' is taken to be the GOD PLAN — Phase 1 text as supplied, whose doctrine germinated in the second half of Gotnw's chapter 27 and is here analyzed at full maturity.

2. The Plan at a Glance

The plan opens by naming its own horizon: *to build a world where crime no longer needs to be punished indefinitely, because the conditions that produce it have ceased to exist*. The terminal objective follows immediately: *make the order established by Kira survive me, transforming itself into a political, institutional and cultural structure capable of sustaining itself*. Victory over Near removed the last immediate threat, and the document treats that victory as precisely the moment when the real problem begins — the world keeps producing criminality, corruption and conflict that can erode the new order. Phase 1 therefore exists to convert a personal deterrent into a civilization that no longer needs one.

The answer is an architecture, not a list of enemies. Three complementary fronts divide the work of the new order: **SANCTION** contains the threats that persist; **STATE** modifies the material and juridical conditions that produce criminality; **SOUL** works on the ideas, values and generations that will decide whether the order outlives its founder. The document states its central design rule in one line — each front must compensate for the limitations of the other two — and then proves the rule negatively, showing three partial victories (military, economic, institutional) that all fail alone. Every component of Phase 1, from the five laws to the Tipo 1 movement, is a part placed to close one of those failure modes.

Deployment is staged. Stage 0 consolidates control, executors and resources; Stage 1 converts principles into laws and majorities; Stage 2 converts laws into territorial and institutional results; Stage 3 removes the founder from the dependency chain and hands the system to institutions, culture and a prepared succession. The phase declares its own exit condition: it is complete only when authority, institutions and culture have *stopped depending exclusively on one single person*. The table below condenses the expected end-state by dimension, exactly as the document lists it.

Dimension	Expected result of Phase 1
Sanction	Kira's authority consolidated
State	Juridical and institutional reforms established
Fund	Continental financing organized
Territory	Institutional presence restored in affected regions
Justice	Penitentiary and judicial system reformed
Economy	Conditions created to reduce dependency on illegal activities
Education	Cultural formation structure developed
Continuity	Institutional and generational bases established

Table 2.1 — The plan's own expected-result matrix for Phase 1, by dimension.

3. The Strategic Plan Explained

3.1 Design philosophy: from deterrence to permanence

Every strategic document answers a question; the question here is unusually honest. The God Plan could have stopped at deterrence — the Death Note already works, criminals die, the world obeys. Instead the author asks what deterrence cannot do: it cannot make itself unnecessary, it cannot survive its own author, and it cannot stop the machine that manufactures new criminals. The document's famous relapse logic — *'If I recover a territory but do not change the conditions that allowed crime to settle there, I will have achieved a temporary victory'* — is not decoration; it is the plan's founding observation, repeated at the territorial, economic and institutional levels.

This produces the plan's governing distinction between **temporary victory** and **permanence**. A temporary victory removes an actor; permanence removes the reasons an actor can exist. Accordingly, Phase 1 spends almost none of its text on punishment and almost all of it on the conditions around punishment: tax design, juvenile justice, port controls, agricultural alternatives, school programs, the pricing of masculinity in a generation of young men. The Death Note still operates — Sanction is one of the three fronts — but it is deliberately scheduled for obsolescence inside the plan's own Stage 3, where stability must survive *without depending indefinitely on Kira's intervention* (objective S3).

The design philosophy also explains the document's tone. Light writes as a head of state drafting a program, not as a vigilante keeping a ledger: he names budget shares, article numbers and review clauses. The plan is self-consciously an institutional object — meant to be read, audited and inherited. That is why the artifact is best understood as constitution-building: the notebook founds the order, and the GOD PLAN — Phase 1 is the founding charter that intends to outlive the notebook.

3.2 The three-front architecture

The three fronts are not departments; they are a single mechanism viewed from three angles. Sanction answers what must be contained, State transforms what can be corrected through institutions, and Soul works on what can be prevented by forming future generations. The document's design rule — *each front must compensate for the limitations of the other two* — is elaborated in its closing argument as three paired failures: a territory recovered without changing its conditions is a temporary victory; economic improvement left under criminal dominion is an opportunity captured by the organizations; institutions built without educational transformation reproduce the same problems in the next generation. *'I cannot allow myself that contradiction'* is the sentence that locks the three fronts together.

Front	Function	Objective
SANCTION	Authority and punishment	Contain the threats that persist: deterrence while institutions mature, the act-crime principle, and a scheduled transition away from personal intervention.
STATE	Institutional organization	Modify the conditions that produce criminality: five laws, the Fund of Security of the Americas, territorial recovery and penitentiary transformation.
SOUL	Education and culture	Prevent the reproduction of the same problems: the Tipo 1/Tipo 2 taxonomy, a self-propagating movement, standardized education and generational continuity.

Table 3.1 — The three complementary fronts as the document defines them.

The compensation logic also assigns each front a time horizon. Sanction operates in the present — it is the only front that can act tonight. State operates on a legislative and budgetary cycle — years. Soul operates on a generational cycle — decades. By combining the three, the plan always has an active instrument at every time scale, which is exactly what a deterrence-only regime lacks: it has no future tense. This is also why the identity of the front matter: the plan does not ask whether Kira is feared; it asks whether Kira is still needed, and arranges the world so that the answer converges on no.

3.3 The phase engine: Stage 0 → 3

The stage engine is the plan's answer to a classic coup problem: new orders fail either because they institutionalize too early, on paper without power, or too late, when power has hardened into a personality. The document sequences the crossing deliberately. Stage 0 touches no institution at all — it secures the executor, the control mechanisms, the money and the diagnosis. Stage 1 writes the order into law while deterrence still backs it. Stage 2 makes the state perform: laws become plans, plans become recovered territories, and recovered territories are defined as incomplete *'while their inhabitants continue to depend on the same conditions that facilitated the criminal organizations' dominion'*. Stage 3 removes the founder: the test of the whole construction is that it keeps working when he stops.

Stage	Sanction	State	Soul
Stage 0 — Initial consolidation	Mikami's continuity as executor; deterrence maintained; the act-crime principle applied.	Integrity and supervision mechanisms; the Fund prepared; institutional and territorial difficulties identified; security, justice and administrative capacities evaluated; regional cooperation prepared.	Educational, cultural and intellectual spaces identified; the principles of the new order defined; the Tipo 1 movement and its formation structure prepared.
Stage 1 — Juridical and political consolidation	Deterrence continues while institutions are built.	The five laws presented and incorporated into legislative programs; favorable majorities formed; US-Latin American institutional agreements; legal bases of the Fund; national plans prepared.	Intellectual formation, education and knowledge production join the continuity strategy in parallel.
Stage 2 — State and territorial consolidation	Sanction held in reserve as institutions take the field.	Laws approved; national security plans implemented; judicial and administrative institutions strengthened; regional cooperation developed; state presence recovered; penitentiaries transformed; social and educational programs integrated in recovered regions.	Formation programs enter the recovered territories; culture begins reproducing the order's principles.
Stage 3 — Transition to permanence	Stability no longer depends indefinitely on Kira's intervention (S3).	Institutions conserve their functions; justice procedures stabilize; criminality falls in a sustained way; prisons orient toward reintegration.	Educational programs consolidated; a culture that reproduces the principles; a new generation of leaders and intellectual referents; Kira's generational continuity prepared.

Table 3.2 — What each front contributes in each stage, and the transition toward permanence.

The completion condition is written with unusual precision for this genre. Phase 1 is considered structurally consolidated *'when authority, the institutions and the culture cease to depend exclusively on one single person'*. Three separate dependencies — fear, administration and belief — must each become independent of Light. That triple test is what makes Stage 3 a genuine transition rather than a victory lap, and it is why the protection objectives (P2) and the succession planning belong to the plan's core rather than to its margins.

3.4 The objective system: O1-O6, S1-S3, E1-E6, A1-A5, P1-P3

The plan codifies itself: six strategic objectives (O1-O6) give the phase its spine, and seventeen specific objectives distribute the work across the three fronts — S1-S3 for Sanction, E1-E6 for State, A1-A5 for Soul — while three protection objectives (P1-P3) guard the project itself. The full tree is reproduced below. Its shape is the plan's argument: only one objective belongs to the old world of fear (S1, maintaining deterrence while institutions mature), while twenty-two belong to the new world of law, money, territory and culture.

Code	Front	Objective
O1	State	Consolidate a favorable US government and Latin American governments — center / social-democratic profiles rejecting extremist ideologies — financing the most apt candidates with Near's money in exchange for accepting the agenda, bound to the standardized national plans and the five laws.
O2	State	Obtain legislative majorities that allow the five laws to pass in the participating priority countries.
O3	State	Establish national plans of security, education and social development.
O4	Soul	Develop the cultural and intellectual influence of the Tipo 1 and Tipo 2 populations.
O5	State	Transform the criminal structure of the Latin American corridor through regional cooperation.
O6	Soul	Establish a Tipo 1 educational and cultural movement capable of reproducing itself across generations.
S1	Sanction	Continuity: keep Kira's deterrent function active while institutions develop their own capacities.
S2	Sanction	Act-crime: distinguish concrete conducts from personal characteristics in every sanction.
S3	Sanction	Transition: prevent the system's stability from depending indefinitely on Kira's intervention.
E1	State	Establish the Fund of Security of the Americas.
E2	State	Strengthen the integrity of police and judicial institutions.
E3	State	Develop cooperation among the participating countries.
E4	State	Recover institutional presence in the affected territories.
E5	State	Transform prisons into spaces of formation and reintegration.
E6	State	Establish permanent mechanisms of transparency and accountability.
A1	Soul	Strengthen intellectual formation and the production of knowledge.
A2	Soul	Develop the Tipo 1 masculine movement, based on brotherhood, critical thinking and personal growth.
A3	Soul	Use positive expectations in individual formation, allowing initial classifications to be revised when the evidence justifies it.
A4	Soul	Transmit moral principles through comprehension and reasoning, not through repetition.
A5	Soul	Form a new generation capable of preserving and developing the project's cultural legacy.
P1	Protection	Keep the identity of Light and the figure of Kira differentiated.
P2	Protection	Prevent institutional continuity from depending on a single individual.
P3	Protection	Contemplate the risks of succession, differences of interpretation, conflicts of interest and the intervention of Ryuk.

Table 3.3 — The plan's full objective tree: 6 strategic, 17 specific and 3 protection objectives.

Objective O1 is the political master key, and the document states its mechanics without embarrassment: fund the campaigns of the most apt profiles — center and social-democratic figures who reject extremist ideologies — *with Near's money*, selecting candidates *'willing to accept the agenda in exchange for greater funding in education and security'*, and binding them to the standardized national plans and the five laws. It is the L-operation of the Matsuda episode scaled to presidencies: the target is given a choice whose favorable branch has been built by the planner. One drafting inconsistency is worth flagging on a close reading: O2 speaks of passing the laws in 'the six priority countries', while the territorial chapter defines seven priority countries plus Colombia as coordination center. The mechanism (majorities in every participating state) is unaffected; the count is simply not synchronized between sections.

The protection triad is what elevates the document from program to system. P1 maintains the separation between the identity of Light and the figure of Kira — the concealment that keeps a decapitation strike impossible. P2 forbids institutional continuity from resting on any single individual — including Light himself. P3 names the four risks that P1 and P2 cannot dissolve: succession, differences of interpretation, conflicts of interest, and the intervention of Ryuk — the one variable in the document that does not respond to human motivations and therefore cannot be engineered, only accommodated.

3.5 The legislative core: the Five Laws

The State front's legislative core consists of five laws, and the document drafts them with real normative technique — numbered articles, quotas, exemptions, review clauses and transition regimes. Their selection is itself strategic: none of the five is a 'Kira law'. They are water pricing, juvenile responsibility, child protection, a security fund and sentencing reform — the legislative surface of an ordinary, defensible social program. The new order smuggles itself into legitimacy wearing exactly the clothes a democracy would expect of a serious government, which is precisely what makes the package passable by real majorities (O2).

Law	Principle	Key mechanisms	Strategic function
Law 1 — Water Balance	Every productive activity must assume the cost of the natural resources it uses.	12% corrective levy on the market value of water used by industry, agriculture and mining; basic human consumption exempt up to 15 m^3 per household per month plus domestic rainwater systems; certified meters and regional tariffs; revenue split 60% basin restoration / 25% sanitation / 15% oversight; a National Water Authority; fines, concession suspension and revocation; periodic rate revision (Art. 7).	Legitimacy through ordinary good government; a revenue pillar independent of the Fund; the first law voters experience as a benefit.
Law 2 — Adolescent Criminal Responsibility	Early responsibility accompanied by real opportunities for reintegration.	Criminal responsibility from 13; tiered regimes (13-15 socio-educational measures, internment only for grave crimes up to 3 years; 16-17 attenuated regime with reduced penalties and absolute separation from adults; general regime at 18); a Damage Comprehension Exam; schooling and judicial-review guarantees; sealing and expungement of juvenile records upon reintegration; a transition regime for existing cases (Art. 6).	Closes the recruitment pipeline the organizations live on; pairs the plan's hardness with a reintegration story its future citizens can accept.
Law 3 — Consent and Proximity Protection	Protection must consider the physical and digital spaces where harm can occur.	General minimum age of consent at 15; a proximity exception for 15-17-year-olds with a maximum 24-month gap, preserving protections against coercion and abuse; judicial proximity restrictions for convicted offenders around schools, daycares, shelters and playgrounds; platform obligations for digital protection; a convicted-offenders registry with privacy guarantees, supervision and review.	The pro-victim pillar: converts the White-Knight image of the new order into enforceable law and gives the registry architecture a moral anchor.
Law 4 — Fund of Security of the Americas	Regional security requires cooperation, resources and institutional continuity.	Financed by an allocation equal to 6% of the US defense budget — a cut enacted after installing the new president — estimated at USD 52,000 M per year; country distribution 30/26/22/8/6/4/3/1; internal split 45/25/30; funding conditioned on audits, transparency and anti-corruption controls; a common coordination structure that does not replace constitutional competences; funding tied to verifiable results; public periodic evaluations.	The plan's economic engine: buys institutions, territories and loyalties at continental scale with the adversary's own treasury.
Law 5 — Graduated Justice Matrix	Punishment must answer the act committed; reintegration must consider the person's evolution.	The act-crime principle — sentences respond to demonstrated conduct, gravity, intent, recidivism and harm, never exclusively to profile or social condition; five severity levels with public uniform criteria; formation, damage reparation and comprehension evaluations inside sentences; independent evaluation of each person's evolution; prosecutorial and judicial transparency; penitentiary resources for education and job training; public recidivism reviews.	Rebrands Kira justice as proportionality: the act-crime principle is the moral genealogy of the Death Note, rewritten as due process.

Table 3.4 — The five fundamental laws of the State front, as drafted in the document.

3.6 The financial engine: the Fund of Security of the Americas

Law 4 is the plan's treasury, and its first line contains the whole maneuver: the Fund is financed by an allocation equal to **6% of the United States defense budget**, a cut enacted *'after making the new president win'*. The reference estimate is **USD 52,000 million per year**. Read as a sequence, it is a complete capture operation — install a head of state (O1), redirect a slice of his military budget (Law 4), and convert the world's largest war treasury into the operating budget of the new order. It is also, characteristically, an act of poetic accounting: the campaigns that elect the president are themselves financed *with Near's money*, so the adversary funds the election, the election funds the cut, and the cut funds the order.

Country	Share	Annual amount
Colombia	30%	USD 15,600 M
Mexico	26%	USD 13,520 M
Brazil	22%	USD 11,440 M
Venezuela	8%	USD 4,160 M
Peru	6%	USD 3,120 M
Chile	4%	USD 2,080 M
Argentina	3%	USD 1,560 M
Bolivia	1%	USD 520 M
Total	100%	USD 52,000 M

Table 3.5 — Territorial distribution of the Fund (Law 4, Art. 2): USD 52,000 M per year.




Figure 1. The plan's financial engine: country allocation of the Fund and its internal 45/25/30 distribution — all figures as stated in the document.

Distribution is the second half of the design. The Fund pays eight countries according to transformation potential, not equality: Colombia 30%, Mexico 26%, Brazil 22%, Venezuela 8%, Peru 6%, Chile 4%, Argentina 3%, Bolivia 1%. The author justifies the asymmetry explicitly — *'I do not need to distribute the*

resources equally. I need to send them where they can produce the greatest transformation' — and the internal split enforces the doctrine: 45% to investigation and intelligence (USD 23,400 M), 25% to prisons and programs (USD 13,000 M), 30% to territorial strengthening (USD 15,600 M). The document pre-empts its own most likely failure mode in one line: *'I don't want all the money to end up in the security forces'* — institutions that can intervene are not institutions that can solve.

Area	Share	Annual amount	Purpose
Investigation and intelligence	45%	USD 23,400 M	Institutional capacity to understand problems, investigate economic crimes and share information.
Prisons and programs	25%	USD 13,000 M	Penitentiary transformation, education, job training and reintegration opportunities.
Territorial strengthening	30%	USD 15,600 M	Public infrastructure, institutional services and the state presence in neglected regions.

Table 3.6 — Internal distribution of every country's allocation (Law 4, Art. 3).

The third half — and the reason this law is more than a budget — is conditionality. Every dollar is tied to audits, transparency mechanisms and anti-corruption controls (Art. 4), to verifiable results and institutional continuity (Art. 6), and to public periodic evaluations of security, integrity, judicial outcomes and territorial development (Art. 7). The Fund is therefore also a disciplinary instrument: it purchases not just capacity but compliance, converting the participating states into stakeholders in the order's success. Influence stops being a favor Kira grants and becomes a budget line a minister must defend.

3.7 The territorial campaign: eight differential strategies

The territorial chapter is where the plan stops being doctrine and becomes campaign. Latin America is read as one interconnected structure — production, transit, financing and distribution cross borders, so national results depend on regional cooperation — and the author rejects isolated operations explicitly: *'If I weaken the organizations in one territory but leave their connections to neighboring countries intact, they will simply find another way to continue'*. Eight countries therefore receive eight different strategies under one common framework, each with named priority zones: Tijuana, Petare, El Callao, Chapare, San Matías, Rosario, the Buenos Aires conurbano and Chile's northern border.

Country	Share	Priority zones	Core diagnosis	Principal instruments
Colombia	30%	National regions under narcotraffic	Continental hub of production and transit; the pivotal node for regional coordination.	National institutions, financial investigation, regional analysis, coordination of neighbors' security policies.
Mexico	26%	Tijuana and criminal-economy regions	Fentanyl, fuel theft, extortion and criminal influence over communities and institutions.	Financial investigations, inter-institutional cooperation, state recovery, social protection, de-linking communities from criminal income.
Brazil	22%	PCC / Comando Vermelho urban communities	Transnational organizations with territorial dominion that survive the arrest of their members.	Federal and regional strengthening, public services, education and labor programs, prevention of re-occupation.
Venezuela	8%	Petare and El Callao	Institutional weakness plus illegal gold-mining economies and corruption.	Public institutions, administration and justice, mineral-resource transparency, social programs, restored trust.
Peru	6%	Callao and extortion-affected regions	Extortion embedded in daily commerce; port weaknesses enabling international traffic.	Economic-crime investigation, port controls, institutional integrity, protection of commerce, prevention and reintegration.
Chile	4%	Northern border	Cross-border traffic and alternative narcotraffic routes exploiting coordination gaps.	Carabineros reinforcement, surveillance and border-control systems, neighbor cooperation, investigation.

Country	Share	Priority zones	Core diagnosis	Principal instruments
Argentina	3%	Rosario and the Buenos Aires conurbano	Domestic criminal markets, extortion networks and the recruitment of minors.	Investigation and justice, national-provincial coordination, juvenile-recruitment prevention, education and labor opportunities.
Bolivia	1%	Chapare and San Matías	Production economies and dependency, with border connections into Brazil.	Agricultural and economic alternatives, public services, border cooperation, state capacity — transformation over presence.

Table 3.7 — The eight-country campaign: diagnosis and instruments per country, as argued in the document.

Colombia is the keystone and receives the largest share (30%) for a stated structural reason: its position makes it the observation and coordination hub of continental narcotraffic, so it is funded to become the strategy's main support center — financial investigation, regional analysis and neighbor cooperation — rather than merely another front line. Mexico (26%) concentrates on fentanyl, fuel theft and extortion, with financial investigations aimed at decoupling communities from criminal payrolls. Brazil (22%) fights the PCC and Comando Vermelho on two synchronized objectives — weaken the organizations and prevent anyone from re-occupying the emptied spaces — because detention alone demonstrably does not dissolve structures that outlive their members.

The remaining five allocations are each a different theory of failure corrected. Venezuela (8%) is treated as an institutional-collapse case: nothing holds unless the state itself works, so ***institutional discipline is a precondition for any advance***. Peru (6%) carries the plan's sharpest self-discipline clause — police corruption must be investigated and corrected ***before*** results are demanded, because executors captured by the organizations would weaponize the Fund against the project itself. Bolivia (1%) is deliberately cheap because its cure is economic transformation — agricultural alternatives, services and border cooperation — rather than permanent presence: *'If I eliminate that source of income without offering alternatives, the same conditions will end up favoring its reappearance'*. Chile (4%) and Argentina (3%) are subsidiarity cases, where capable institutions receive reinforcement at the margins — border technology in the north, and anti-recruitment work in Rosario and the conurbano — instead of substitute governance.

3.8 State-Soul integration: the security-development-formation triad

The plan refuses to let 'security' absorb the whole meaning of recovery. A region counts as recovered only when three elements coexist: security — institutions able to guarantee the law; development — basic services, education and labor opportunities; and formation — an education that builds intellectual capacity, responsibility and comprehension of consequences. The document derives the triad from its own causal model: eliminating an organization does not guarantee that another will not take its place, so the economic, educational and cultural conditions that favor their appearance must change as part of the same operation.

Two design consequences follow. First, social and educational programs are declared ***essential to territorial continuity, not secondary complements*** — every recovered region must receive concrete improvements (schools, drinking water, sanitation, employment) so that the population can recognize the new order in its own life rather than identify the state with a force that only appears to impose restrictions. Second, the territorial front is explicitly bound to the Soul front: the state holds the ground the culture will inherit. This is the quiet refutation of the Death Note's original logic — fear can clear a territory, but only conditions can hold one.

3.9 The cultural front: manufacturing permanence

The Soul front is the plan's long game: a culture capable of reproducing the order's principles without imposition. It is organized into five objectives. T1 formation strengthens the people who produce knowledge, theories and interpretations of reality; T2 diffusion manages how those ideas travel until they become shared cultural references. The Tipo 1 movement itself is designed as a community of brotherhood, critical thinking, discipline and personal growth — an explicitly masculine formation project meant to raise a generation of Type-1 men as the social nucleus, confronting and cancelling 'repudiable and obscene attitudes' and building *'a sound, pragmatic masculinity that rejects violence and perversion'*. Individual formation applies positive expectations — the Pygmalion effect, operationalized in A3 with classifications that can be revised when evidence justifies it — and A4 demands that moral principles be transmitted *'through comprehension and reasoning, not through repetition'*. A5 secures the relay: a new generation formed to preserve and develop the legacy.

The document is candid about the front's darker instrument: the *cancellation of channels, podcasts, influencers, politicians and people who act to denigrate or hold a mentality of hate*. This is mass manipulation elevated to state policy — the same figure who once deleted individuals now edits the public sphere, replacing episodic terror with curated permanence. It is the plan's most openly authoritarian component, and the text does not disguise it; it simply embeds it inside an otherwise persuasive welfare narrative. A complete reading of the plan must hold both halves: the genuine long-horizon social engineering and the censorship that guards it.

What makes the front notable as intelligence rather than as ideology is its reproduction mechanism. T1 produces the ideas, T2 amplifies them, the movement embodies them, the schools standardize them, and the generational relay (A5, plus the heir contemplated in the continuity section) re-seeds them. The order stops needing its prophet because it has built an clergy of teachers. That is the precise sense in which the Soul front compensates the other two: it converts what Sanction enforces and State builds into something people transmit voluntarily — the cheapest and most durable form of enforcement there is.

3.10 Protection, succession and the limits doctrine

The protection layer treats the plan itself as the asset to be defended. P1 keeps Light and Kira as separate figures — structural concealment, so that no investigation can connect the order to a man. P2 forbids the system from depending on any single individual, founder included: institutions, not persons, are the durable components. P3 enumerates what cannot be prevented and must be absorbed: succession, differences of interpretation, conflicts of interest, and Ryuk — described with precision as *'an external variable that does not respond to the same human motivations'*, the one actor in the document who cannot be recruited, funded, educated or cancelled.

Alongside the risk list stands a doctrine of limits, unique in Light's recorded thinking: people interpret principles differently; *'obedience does not guarantee comprehension'*; *'loyalty does not guarantee that decisions are correct'*; institutions can make mistakes; social classifications can be incomplete. The conclusion is architectural rather than sentimental: *'the stability of the project will depend on its capacity to conserve its principles and to recognize its own limitations'*. The plan therefore builds feedback into itself — revisable classifications (A3), public evaluations (E6, Law 4 Art. 7, Law 5 Art. 7), and a self-correction the

author performs on the page in real time.

Succession completes the layer. The heir is contemplated as *'a possible continuity of identity and tradition'*, with the explicit caveat that his formation does not imply immediate assumption of the founder's functions — continuity is designed to flow through institutions and culture first, through blood last. It is the final inversion of the chapter-27 insight that loyalty is not understanding: the plan no longer needs to trust any individual, because it has been engineered to survive the absence of all of them.

3.11 Victory redefined

The document closes its argument by redefining victory. Influence, the author writes, will begin to extend differently — no longer depending only on fear of punishment, but present *'in the institutions that administer their countries, in the communities that recover their opportunities, and in the education that the coming generations will receive'*. Latin America is chosen as the demonstration region: not merely territory where Kira holds authority, but *'one of the first regions where he can prove that his new order is capable of transforming the living conditions of millions of people'*. The fall of the criminal organizations is declared only the first result — *'the truly important thing will be to prevent others from taking their place'*.

And then the final line, which is the plan's whole thesis compressed into one sentence: *'If I achieve that, I will have proven that Kira can do something that the Death Note, by itself, could never have achieved'*. The weapon that founded the order is scheduled to become unnecessary to it. In objective terms, Phase 1 is the plan by which the God of the New World abolishes the need for a god — retaining the worship, the institutions and the values, while the killing becomes an emergency power instead of a governing system.

3.12 Self-correction inside the document

Unusually for the genre, the document watches itself think. The closing pages are a first-person diagnostic — *'Now I see more clearly what the project is missing'* — in which the author concedes that governments accepting Kira's authority is not the same as territory actually controlled, identifies Latin America as the proof case, and corrects the plan's own architecture: from treating nations as separate problems to a continental effort; from equal distribution to marginal allocation; from security spending to the security-development-formation triad. The GOD PLAN — Phase 1 is thus not only a plan but a record of its own revision — the strategic loop of diagnosis, design, error and redesign, closed inside a single artifact.

4. SCD Category Map — All Categories the Plan Uses

4.1 Method: engagement mapping without scores

This chapter answers one question exhaustively: **which SCD categories does the plan use?** The method is engagement mapping over the standard one-hundred-and-twenty-five-subcategory taxonomy. A subcategory is counted as *demonstrated* when the plan document itself — not surrounding narration — contains material that exercises it: a design decision, a mechanism, a diagnosis, a self-correction. Each demonstrated subcategory receives a description of how the plan uses it, in the author's own mechanisms wherever possible.

Two boundary rules keep the inventory honest. First, a doctrinal document demonstrates formulation, not performance: subcategories that require observed execution under real-time conditions (Acting skills, Fabrication, Bluffing, Formulation speed, Execution) are not credited merely because the plan alludes to such acts — they belong to the Matsuda-operation and other executed chapters of the file, not to this one. Second, count-based shares replace all scores: the percentage figures below measure how much of the plan's demonstrated set each main category occupies, and how deeply the plan covers each category's own pool of subcategories. They are structure, not grades.

The result: the plan engages **66 of 125 subcategories (52.8%)** across **8 of the 10 main categories**. No intelligence category is graded in this report; the numbers say only how widely the plan's content spreads across the taxonomy and where its center of structural gravity lies.

66 / 125	8 / 10	52.8%	0
SCD subcategories demonstrated	main categories engaged	of the full taxonomy exercised	scores — structural map only

4.2 Overview of the map

The overview table gives both readings for every main category: the count of demonstrated subcategories with their share of the plan's sixty-six, and the coverage of that category's own pool — how much of the category the plan's single document is able to activate. The two readings rank the plan differently, and the difference is informative: Cyan — Strategy dominates the plan's composition, while Cognition and Orange — Foresight are small but completely saturated — the plan exercises every subcategory those categories possess.

Main category	Demonstrated	Share of plan	Category pool	Coverage of pool
Cyan — Strategy	19 of 22	28.8%	22	86.4%
Light Green — Thinking	15 of 18	22.7%	18	83.3%
Dark Blue — Insight & Field Ops	11 of 36	16.7%	36	30.6%
Purple — Manipulation & Deception	9 of 19	13.6%	19	47.4%

Main category	Demonstrated	Share of plan	Category pool	Coverage of pool
Pink — EI & Social Intelligence	4 of 13	6.1%	13	30.8%
Red — FSIQ	3 of 5	4.5%	5	60.0%
Orange — Foresight	3 of 3	4.5%	3	100.0%
Cognition	2 of 2	3.0%	2	100.0%
White/Black — Story	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Yellow — Adversity Capacity	0	0.0%	6	0.0%

Table 4.1 — The complete taxonomy against the plan: demonstrated subcategories, count-based share of the plan's 66, and coverage of each category's own pool.




Figure 2. The plan's engagement profile: share of its 66 demonstrated subcategories per main category, and how deeply each category's pool is covered. Grey bars: categories left untouched.

4.3 Cyan — Strategy

The plan's structural center of gravity. Nineteen of its twenty-two subcategories appear — 28.8% of the plan's demonstrated set and 86.4% of the category's own pool — because the document is, above all, a design object: an architecture of fronts and stages, a budget, a legislative package and a control system. The only absent members are the execution-side subcategories (Execution, Fortitude, Formulation speed), which a doctrine on paper cannot demonstrate by nature.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Strategy	
Complexity	The most complex artifact the character has ever designed: 3 fronts × 4 stages × 6 strategic objectives + 17 specific objectives + 3 protection objectives, 5 articulated laws and 8 countries with individual assignments.
Shielding	Structural armor: the Light≠Kira separation (P1), protection of the founder's identity, and elimination of the single point of failure through institutionalization.
Effectiveness	Projected effectiveness with verifiable closing conditions, though without executive validation: the document designs the outcome, it does not yet produce it.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Logistics	USD 52,000 M a year distributed country by country (30/26/22/8/6/4/3/1) with exact amounts, an internal 45/25/30 split, and campaigns financed with Near's own money.
Foresight	Succession and generational continuity planned in advance; a relapse diagnostic: 'If I recover a territory but do not change its conditions… I will have achieved a temporary victory'.
Building	Institution-building from zero: a National Water Authority, the Fund of Security of the Americas, a convicted-offenders registry, a graduated justice matrix and the Tipo 1 movement.
Adaptability	Periodic-review clauses (Law 1, Art. 7), A3 — classifications revisable 'when the evidence justifies it' — and a transition regime for existing cases (Law 2, Art. 6).
Success rate Control	Funding conditioned on audits and verifiable results (Law 4, Arts. 4-7), public recidivism evaluations, and an explicit condition for closing the phase.
Alignment	Every objective traces to the terminal objective with no drift anywhere in the document; the three fronts are defined by mutual compensation of limitations.
Efficiency	'I do not need to distribute the resources equally': marginal allocation by transformation potential — Bolivia at 1% versus Colombia at 30%, with the causal justification spelled out.
Planning	
Intricacies	Total legislative granularity: numbered articles, an exemption for basic consumption (15 mS per month), exact ages, a 24-month proximity window and transition regimes.
Preparation	Stage 0 devoted entirely to prior conditions before any institution is touched: executor, control mechanisms, the Fund and the territorial diagnosis.
Coverage	P3 enumerates the risks (succession, interpretation, conflicts of interest, Ryuk); the proximity exception; the basic-consumption exemption; the transition regime of Law 2.
Risk calculation	Corruption inside Light's own executor corps is identified before results are demanded: 'Police corruption must be investigated and corrected before demanding results'.
Accuracy	Verifiable arithmetic: 52,000 M × share = exact amounts (15,600 / 13,520 / 11,440 / 4,160 / 3,120 / 2,080 / 1,560 / 520) and the internal 45/25/30 split = 23,400 / 13,000 / 15,600.
Less limitations	Designed to outgrow the weapon: 'I will have proven that Kira can do something the Death Note, by itself, could never have achieved' (S3 — the transition away from deterrence).
Versatility	Multi-domain instruments serving a single objective: tax, criminal, registry, budget, educational, cultural, territorial and institutional lawmaking.
Invincibility	Invulnerability by design: separated identity, institutional continuity without the founder, succession contemplated — adversarial validation still pending.
Aim	The terminal objective is declared at the opening and sustained without drift, with an explicit closing condition: 'when… they stop depending exclusively on one single person'.

Table 4.1 — Cyan — Strategy: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.4 Light Green — Thinking

The plan's engine room: fifteen of eighteen subcategories (22.7% of the set; 83.3% of the pool). Strategic, analytical, holistic and applied thinking carry the document's load — the terminal-to-instrument cascade, the eight differential country diagnoses, the causal chain from crime to education — while reasoning contributes its counterfactual, matrix and inductive modes. Only the three inference-classic subcategories absent from the source's argumentative style (Deductive, Abductive, Analogical) remain unused.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Thinking	
Strategic	The entire document is strategic thinking in its pure state: terminal → fronts → stages → objectives → instruments → closing condition, with compensation among fronts.
Analytical	Eight differential diagnoses, country by country, each with its main problem, priority zones and dedicated objectives (fentanyl, PCC, port extortion, gold mining).
Application	Theory converted into articles: corrective-levy economics, the criminology of juvenile recruitment and the psychology of the Pygmalion effect operationalized as norms.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Critical	An explicit doctrine of limits: 'obedience does not guarantee comprehension', 'institutions can make mistakes'; self-diagnosis: 'Now I see more clearly what the project is missing'.
Abstract	High-level operative abstractions: the three fronts (Sanction/State/Soul), the Tipo 1/Tipo 2 taxonomy and the act-crime principle.
Lateral	Financing the new order with the defeated enemy's money (campaigns 'with Near's money') and prisons converted into prevention infrastructure.
Divergent	Several instruments per problem: Bolivia receives agricultural alternatives, public services and border cooperation for a single diagnosis.
Holistic	Explicit systems thinking: 'they are connected by activities that cross their borders' + State-Alma integration + each front compensating the other two.
Convergent	Every instrument converges on the terminal objective, and resources concentrate where 'they can produce the greatest transformation'.
Associative	A causal chain built and relinked in every section: crime ← economic conditions ← education ← cultural permanence.
Linear	Light's weakest mode elevated to formal architecture: stages 0→3 with expected results and a transition condition between stages.
Reasoning	
Logical	Chained counterfactual conditionals: 'If I weaken the organizations in one territory but leave their connections to neighboring countries intact… they will simply find another way'.
Abstract	Reasoning about permanence, legitimacy and continuity as abstract variables before reasoning about concrete cases.
Matrix	The Fund's matrix (country × share × amount × problem) and the internal 45/25/30 split: matrix reasoning converted into a budget.
Inductive	Generalization from regional patterns: the criminally induced relapse of cases (Bolivia, Rosario) converted into a structural rule.

Table 4.2 — Light Green — Thinking: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.5 Dark Blue — Insight & Field Ops

Eleven of thirty-six subcategories (16.7% of the set; 30.6% of the pool) — a minority share that misstates its importance, because the eleven that do appear are the plan's reading instruments: modeling criminal organizations as economic structures, decomposing the continental market into production-transit-financing-distribution, diagnosing each territory, and writing contingencies for succession and Ryuk. The large unused rest is the real-time, face-to-face surface of the category — perception, reading, tactics, trap play, counters — which a document that removes its author from every scene cannot exercise.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Insight	
Seeing-Through-People (STP)	Modeling of collective actors: criminal organizations read as economic structures and public executors read as moral hazards.
Analysis	Analytical decomposition of organized crime into production, transit, financing and distribution at continental scale.
Situational Analysis	The territorial situation read region by region with an assigned priority zone: Chapare, Petare, El Callao, Tijuana, Rosario, the northern border.
Field Skills	
Contingencies	P3 contemplates succession, interpretation, conflicts of interest and Ryuk's intervention; Stage 3 defines the transition if the founder is gone.
Knowledge application	The largest demonstration of knowledge application in the whole file: comparative policy, taxation, juvenile justice and sociology converted into norms and budgets.
Safeguarding	Protection of the founder, succession prepared, and identity separation as the project's safety clauses.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Adaptability	
Situational	'Each country will have to act according to its own circumstances': eight differentiated strategies under one common framework.
Strategic	Documented self-iteration: 'Now I see more clearly what the project is missing' — the plan corrects itself inside its own text.
Cognitive	A3 — initial classifications can be revised 'when the evidence justifies it': epistemic updating built into the design.
Psychology	
Profiling	Profiling of criminal structures by operational signature: PCC/Comando Vermelho (territorial expansion), fentanyl economies, port extortion.
Psychological knowledge	The Pygmalion effect operationalized (A3) and A4 — moral internalization 'through comprehension and reasoning, not through repetition': educational psychology applied.

Table 4.3 — Dark Blue — Insight & Field Ops: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.6 Purple — Manipulation & Deception

Nine of nineteen subcategories (13.6% of the set; 47.4% of the pool), all concentrated in the structural half of the category: government through intermediation, incentive-based recruitment, mass cultural engineering, institutional negotiation, the pro-victim image program and permanent concealment of identity. The absent members are telling — Acting, Fabrication, Bluffing, Misdirection and the interpersonal tricks are duel instruments, and this plan contains no duels; its manipulation is built into budgets and ballots instead.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Manipulation	
Indirect	Government by total intermediation: Mikami as executor, financed politicians as legislators, institutions as the arms of policy — Light appears nowhere in any line of execution.
Persuasion	An incentive structure: candidates 'willing to accept the agenda in exchange for greater funding in education and security'.
Logical	The act-crime principle as a logical reframing of Kira justice toward demonstrated proportionality: normative coherence where there used to be terror.
Emotional	A3 — positive expectations as a formation technique; brotherhood and personal growth as the affective bond of the Tipo 1 movement.
Mass	Continental, multi-generational cultural engineering: T1/T2, cancellation of hate channels, standardized education — mass manipulation elevated to state policy.
White Knight	The pro-victim bluff converted into a program of government: protection of minors, penitentiary reintegration and 'a sound, pragmatic masculinity' as the image of the new order.
Negotiations	Structured institutional negotiation: funding in exchange for agendas, audit conditionality, and legislative majorities obtained through public policy.
Smearing	Institutionalized cancellation: 'cancellation of channels, podcasts, influencers, politicians, people who act to denigrate or hold a mentality of hate' — the preemptive smear turned into a system.
Deception	
Concealment	P1 — 'keeping the identity of Light and the figure of Kira differentiated': permanent structural concealment as a protection objective, not a tactic.

Table 4.4 — Purple — Manipulation & Deception: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.7 Pink — EI & Social Intelligence

Four of thirteen subcategories (6.1% of the set; 30.8% of the pool), all on the Social Intelligence side: continental social engineering, influence converted from personal to structural, community-dynamics cognition and succession-capable leadership design. The entire Emotional Intelligence block is absent — the plan shapes societies, not conversations — and the social-grace subcategories (Charisma, Social skills, Social integration) find no stage because their author never appears on one.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Social Intelligence	
Social Engineering	Multi-generational social engineering with a reproduction mechanism: the T1 movement, T2 diffusion, standardized education and the cultural transformation of masculinity, at continental scale.
Influence Building	Legislative majorities, a favorable government and institutional permanence: influence stops being personal and becomes structural.
Social Cognition	Reading of community dynamics: economic dependency on criminal organizations, recruitment of minors, and belonging as an economic alternative.
Leadership	Command-and-succession architecture: total delegation to institutions and the formation of 'a new generation of leaders and intellectual referents'.

Table 4.5 — Pink — EI & Social Intelligence: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.8 Red — FSIQ

Three of five subcategories (4.5% of the set; 60.0% of the pool): the general-intelligence factor visible in the five-domain transfer the artifact performs at once, Academic Intelligence in the normative technique of the five laws, and Verbal Comprehension in the plan's dense operative definitions. The absent pair — Cognitive Proficiency and Perceptual Reasoning — are speed-and-processing and visual-spatial constructs, which a text without time pressure or spatial problems cannot show.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
FSIQ	
Crystallized/Fluid & General Intelligence	Simultaneous transfer across five knowledge domains inside one artifact: legislative drafting, fiscal policy, comparative regional criminology, educational sociology and institutional architecture.
Academic Intelligence	Five laws with genuine normative technique: a 12% corrective levy, differentiated juvenile penalty regimes (13/15/18), a 24-month proximity exception, and exact country-by-country budget quotas.
Verbal Comprehension Index (VCI)	A verbal doctrine of sustained density — 'each front must compensate for the limitations of the other two', 'temporary victory', the act-crime principle — operational definitions rather than slogans.

Table 4.6 — Red — FSIQ: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.9 Orange — Foresight

A complete saturation: all three of the category's subcategories (4.5% of the set; 100% of the pool). Preclusion of relapse is the plan's stated first result, prediction is mechanized into conditional rules about income removal and criminal reappearance, and anticipation runs ahead of execution to enumerate executor corruption, succession, interpretation conflicts and the Ryuk variable before anything is deployed.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Foresight	
Preclusion	Preclusion of systemic relapse: 'The truly important thing will be to prevent others from taking their place' — economic and educational conditions as the structural block.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Predictions	Mechanized prediction: 'If I eliminate that source of income without offering alternatives, the same conditions will end up favoring its reappearance'.
Anticipation	Anticipates executor corruption, succession risks, differences of interpretation and the Ryuk variable before executing anything.

Table 4.7 — Orange — Foresight: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.10 Cognition

Also fully saturated — both of the category's subcategories (3.0% of the set; 100% of the pool). Memory appears as the operative retention of a continent's micro-geography, from Chapare to the Buenos Aires conurbano; General Knowledge as the applied comparative public-policy band — tax design, juvenile justice, registries, regional cooperation and budget financing — that the prior file had never displayed.

Subcategory	How the plan uses it
Cognition	
Memory	Operative retention of regional micro-data: Chapare, San Matías, Petare, El Callao, Tijuana, Rosario and the Buenos Aires conurbano — each zone with its own problem and its own instrument.
General Knowledge	Applied comparative public policy: green taxation design, juvenile justice, offender registries, regional cooperation and budget financing — a band absent from Light's prior file.

Table 4.8 — Cognition: every subcategory the plan demonstrates and the mechanism that exercises it.

4.11 The unexercised surface

The unused surface is as diagnostic as the used one. Fifty-nine of the one hundred and twenty-five subcategories find no exercise in the document, and they cluster into three families. The first is structural: Story and the whole Adversity Capacity block (six subcategories) require a live adversary and personal cost, and the plan deliberately contains neither — its author is writing after the last threat fell. The second family is real-time execution: every subcategory that needs the planner on a stage with a clock — the deception-duel set, the reading and observation set, tactics, trap play and the counters — is unused because the plan's explicit design (P1, intermediation, institutionalization) removes Light from every scene it creates.

Main category	Not demonst rated	Subcategories left unused
Cyan — Strategy	3	Execution; Fortitude; Formulation speed
Light Green — Thinking	3	Deductive; Abductive; Analogical
Dark Blue — Insight & Field Ops	25	Perception; Observation; Kinaesthetic Control; Hot Reading; Cold Reading; Intuition; Multitasking; Tactics; Field navigation; Learning ability; Environmental; Psychological Warfare; Abusing Insecurities; Coercion; Psychoanalysis; Mind Control; Demoralisation; Trap setting; Trap evasion; Overcoming limitations; Judgement; Behavioural; Resistances; Versatility; Unpredictability
Purple — Manipulation & Deception	10	Direct; Seduction; Guilt tripping; Gaslighting; Goalpost Moving; Acting skills; Fabrication; Misdirection; Bluffing; Sophistry
Pink — EI & Social Intelligence	9	Emotional Management (EM); Emotional Perception (EP); Emotional Understanding (EU); Emotional Facilitation (EF); Emotional Engagement (EE); Emotional Realisation (ER); Charisma; Social skills; Social integration
Red — FSIQ	2	Cognitive Proficiency Index (CPI); Perceptual Reasoning Index (PRI)
White/Black — Story	1	Story

Main category	Not demonst rated	Subcategories left unused
Yellow — Adversity Capacity	6	Stress tolerance; Mental fortitude; Cognitive discipline; Impulse control; Impulse Management; Perseverance

Table 4.10 — The 59 subcategories the plan does not exercise, by main category.

The third family is the emotional-interpersonal surface: the entire EI block, charisma and social graces, and the coercive-psychology set (Mind Control, Coercion, Demoralisation, Psychological Warfare). Their absence is not a gap but a finding: the plan rules through law, money and culture precisely where the earlier Light ruled through fear, face and improvisation. What the GOD PLAN — Phase 1 cannot show, by its own nature as a doctrine, is how its author performs when the abstraction fails and a real interlocutor sits across the table — that evidence belongs to the executed chapters of the file.

Surface of the taxonomy	Why the plan cannot exercise it
White/Black — Story	A doctrinal document contains no live adversarial exchange, so no new narrative-feat evidence is produced.
Yellow — Adversity Capacity	No active adversary and no personal cost inside the document; there is nothing to endure, resist or suppress.
Execution / Fortitude / Formulation speed (Cyan)	Execution and speed require observed doing under time pressure; a doctrine on paper shows formulation but never its clock.
Real-time interpersonal blocks (Deception, Insight-perception, Countering, Counteraction)	Acting, fabrication, bluffing, cold/hot reading, trap play and counters live in face-to-face duels; this plan removes Light from every scene by design.
EI block and social-grace subs (Pink)	The plan engineers society, not conversations; emotional perception, charisma or social skills never get a stage.
Coercive-psychology subs (Dark Blue Psychology)	Mind control, coercion or demoralisation are absent: the plan rules through law, money and culture instead.

Table 4.11 — Reading the unused surface: the three families of absent evidence.

5. Closing Synthesis

Read as a whole, the GOD PLAN — Phase 1 is the point where Light Yagami's intelligence changes genre: from the detective-killer who wins finite games to the constitution-writer who refuses to play finite games at all. Its core idea is the mutual compensation of three fronts across three time horizons — enforcement now, institutions for years, culture for generations — and every component, from the twelve-percent water levy to the Tipo 1 movement, exists to close one of the three failure modes the author himself formulates. The plan names its own exit condition and schedules its own founder for obsolescence.

The category map shows the same shape from underneath. The plan's mass sits in Cyan — Strategy (28.8% of the demonstrated set) and Light Green — Thinking (22.7%), with Dark Blue and Purple supplying the reading and steering instruments; Cognition and Orange — Foresight are saturated completely; and everything that requires a duel, a clock or a face is deliberately absent. It is the signature of an architect-systems-builder: the least personal exercise of intelligence in the entire file, and the one with the largest design surface.

Its honest boundary has been stated throughout: a doctrine demonstrates design, not performance. The document can prove that Light can imagine a self-sustaining order to the last budget line; only its execution can prove that the order survives contact with the institutions it must capture and the one variable it admits it cannot control. Within that boundary, Phase 1 is the most complete artifact in the file — the plan in which Kira attempts the one thing the Death Note, by itself, could never have achieved.