Scheming Is Asinine

Made By Aryan

This document is to educate people on the asinine concept that is "scheming" and I have made over discourse and all of them have been repetitive with back to back concessions, henceforth the need of this document creation for such a problem and alongside with more topics.

Message From The People Who Used This

Since I'm getting tired of these cows that have zero identity of themselves and just latch onto whatever propaganda the "scalers" doers like to induce like the said "scaler" we'd be discussing about, and as to much in my dismay how easy it is to spread asinine ideas such as this since this entire community is roughly incompetent, but do not worry since I am here to dismantle these disgusting slops.

Please if you used these once or used them indefinitely, consider quitting as not only you have zero critical thinking and is mentally handicapped entirely, as you embarrass yourself for following such a garbage asinine concept that should have never existed to begin with, if said "scalers" yet again knew how to actually actively comprehend and scale, which we all know they're unable to considering if "trusted person" said so. Sheeps never learns bro.

P.S If you're one of the people that didn't use this because X and Y said so, I heavily compliment you for being competent and you have my high regards as you have an actual identity like me who has their own metrics, also what I said above does not apply to any of my guys who used this asinine concept though I doubt since all of my guys have collectively stopped using this or didn't at all use considering their issues with it as well. If you haven't still, consider not to yes.

Disagreement And Further Discussion

If you disagree in any slightest, the comment section is free for all in discussions and my channel does not have any restrictions unless you went overboard and YT deleted it without even the external factor in my channel. Not to mention, if I find you interesting enough, I might add you for a discourse altogether. I got hundreds of friend requests, mind you, that I don't care enough to accept

considering they're random to me, and anybody I accept should feel special. Since I'm pretty selective on what I add and accept after all. Though there's not much to disagree with considering how self evident this educating is for those who can comprehend my talking points.

Disgusting Individuals Mentioned

Quick note and right off the bat, the red flags mentioned in the start of the asinine document. They are "people" mentioned and the creator itself. If you'd like to divulge with how disgusting the people mentioned in it are, there are links if you click the blue text discussing the subject and giving evidence for each. Knock yourself out. All of these are lore accurate.

Concerning and disgusting individuals such as the groomer pedophile and a lolcow rapist, a mentally deranged corpse and finally the creator that's a disgusting pedophile too and a bunch more concerning him. This community is just cooked with how many disgusting pedophiles and same actions imposed on what pedophiles do. Like what's with you getting attracted to kids? Disgusting.

In Practice And What It Fundamentally Offers

Nevertheless focusing on the asinine concept of what "scheming" essentially offers is a simplification towards redundancy of the categories and abstraction of between Strategy and Planning. Two main factors and core of this concept is arguing about Simplicities and Abstraction and how it defines itself and concedes it doesn't understand how to utilize the older models collectively. Which I'll demonstrate below.

Major Issues Of The Asinine Concept

Since our mentally inbred pedophile here can't naturally scale, essentially what this concept offers is the older models already offer on what his dumbfuck of simplifying and abstracting does already. What I mean by that is.

Simplicities

Categories beforehand already suffices, the idea of scheming despite how garbage this category sounds, its to reduce filler categories and combine two core ideas as aforementioned, in which the old models already does if X scaler removes said filler categories, like strategy efficiency and effectiveness can be deal with strategy optimization combining the two in one single category, if you

can’t understand such as basics as this, the fastest solution would be to use the subcategories that scheming uses which I myself use a different sets of subcategories but they still bow down to simplicities if I were to like in that case. Not hard to get or infer for in these examples. That is core idea number one that older models already strangles scheming with.

Abstraction

Core idea number two is to posit the idea of combination between planner and strategists, but old models yet again already gives a solution to this, if X and Y character can execute a plan, therefore they'd no matter without a doubt be a strategist too given subcats, considering the subcats for example like strategy execution and planning coverage doubles down within the specific scenario in this case. X executes a plan where he had to cover and prepare contingencies for in an ongoing process against Y. It just means X was both of these concepts, making core idea number two asinine. Scheming is garbage and completely asinine and old models suffice. Clearly both give a massive solution on what this asinine concept already offers.

If my explanation and example give a brief solution that scheming does, therefore it makes said scheming entirely asinine, old models yet again covers it considering how characters are made for, if a certain character executes a plan, the subcats would recognize the subcategories getting executed, therefore certain character was both strategist and a planner. Thus covering two ideas, anybody who’s contending has to attack my example for your contentions to be met by which I doubt you would consider since you guys saw how flawed if you were to contend to it.

I'll make it easier for anybody reading this. Do you think X characters would get a category that scheming offers if the specific data they don't do via specific actions? Of course not, like for example planning contingencies. X character didn't use this, would he get it? And again of course not, that just means, he wasn't using said measure. Therefore he wouldn't get said subcategory, the old models already yet again gives. We can do the same idea to older models, yet again the scaler in question needs to be intelligent to understand this. Simplicities? Yessir, planning and strategy handles it, problems with idealistic ideas like planner and strategists? Yessir, old models yet again suffices it.

Scheming is made because said incompetent “scalers” can't understand how scaling actively works henceforth being asinine, if said “scalers” actually understood what scaling is, said idea would never exist henceforth me in here giving these ideas to use former categories since they already do what this asinine concept offers. Change your style because scheming is horrendous.

Appreciate you guys reading thus far, though it is a relatively short document excluding the disgusting individuals mentioned.

P.S Most of the arguments here are my arguments towards arguing with people, they're just revised.